UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,313	04/08/2004	Jeff Steven Edgett	2062.024US1	9716
21186 7590 06/30/2008 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MENDE A DOLLE AND 55402			EXAMINER	
			CHAI, LONGBIT	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/821,313	EDGETT ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LONGBIT CHAI	2131				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>LONGBIT CHAI</u> .	(3)					
(2) Ali Mireshghi.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>24 June 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,32 and 34</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: 7,031,705.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	//A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant clarifies the claim limitation "communicating an agent to the client device, the agent operable to identify the client device configuration"; where communicating an agent to the client device indeed means delivering an agent to the client device. Examiner verifies this argument and finds the support from the intant specification (PG-PUB: para [0064]) and will definitely further consider the prior-arts to determine the condition of allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims						
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO						
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	RVIEW. See Summary of Red	cord of Interview				
	// anghit Chail					
	/Longbit Chai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 21	31				
	Examiner's signature, if requi					